

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,288	3 09/17/2003		L. Mercer McKinley	101896-0206	4546	
21125	7590	12/11/2006		EXAMINER		
		NEN & FISH LLP	ARAJ, MICHAEL J			
WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3733		
				DATE MAILED: 12/11/2000	DATE MAILED: 12/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,288	MCKINLEY, L. MERCER
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J. Araj	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro-	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 4,6,11 and 17-23 is/a  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-10,12-14 and 16 is/are rejecte  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	re withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 December 2003 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/27/06.     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	·

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because 12a, 12b and 12c are not labeled in any figures. Also, it is requested that a cleaner/clearer version of the figures be submitted (more specifically Fig. 2, 3, 4, 5A, 5B, 6B and 9B). Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5, 12, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,364,397 to Hayes et al. Hayes discloses as best illustrated in figures 3 and 5 an implant gripping member 22a and 22b, a rod-engaging member 24a and 24b in the form of opposed arms, and a pusher member/actuator 14. Section 30 of the U-shaped member is planar. Element 16 represents the sliding axis.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,288

**Art Unit: 3733** 

Claims 1, 2, 7-10, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,202,519 to Hayes et al. Hayes discloses an implant gripping member 14 and 60, a rod-engaging member 80, a pusher member/actuator member 72. Element 42 is a sliding axis.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 27, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant claims that Hayes et al. ('397) fails to teach or even suggest an implant-gripping member or implant gripping portion as required by claims 1 and 12. As indicated above 22a and 22b are considered to be the implant-gripping member having a distal portion that extends in a direction substantially transverse to a proximal portion. The distal portion is considered to be substantially transverse to the proximal portion because the axis of symmetry of the proximal portion transverses the distal portion (See Figure 1 below). With regard the statement of intended use (e.g. adapted to be positioned under a distal end of a rod-receiving member of a spinal implant) and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Hayes which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which

Application/Control Number: 10/664,288

Art Unit: 3733

a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Applicant also claims that Hayes et al. ('519) does not teach or even suggest an implant-gripping member. It can be seen in the same way that was described in Hayes et al. ('397) that the implant-gripping member has a distal portion that extends in a direction substantially transverse to a proximal portion.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJA

EDUMADO/C. ROBERT